

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,882	01/30/2004	Robert L. Sutherland	R029 1450 (7205-US)	1062
26158	7590 06/29/2006		EXAM	INER
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 32ND FLOOR			JOHNSON, JERROLD D	
P.O. BOX 7037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037			3728	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ž			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/770,882	SUTHERLAND, ROBERT L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerrold Johnson	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed PNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 September 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complex and	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date 24Aug05.06Jun05.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Pàtent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Figs. 1-4; Figs. 5-7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because slits as are shown in Figs. 5-7 are not "apertures". Apertures have a closed peripheral boundary. These slits are open ended and do not have a closed peripheral boundary. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The specification should be amended to list the related cases 10/725,878, 10/770,301 and 10/770,756.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,882

Art Unit: 3728

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Between 15 June 2006 and 22 June 2006 several telephonic conversations took place between the Examiner and Mr. Keith Montgomery. No final agreement was reached on this case with respect to the allowability of the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerrold Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-7141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 to 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mickey Vu Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Page 4